

Community Impact Assessment: Summary

1. Name of service, policy, function or criteria being assessed: The retrofitting of energy efficiency measures to homes and non-domestic properties throughout the city

2. What are the main objectives or aims of the service/policy/function/criteria?

To implement the new legislation to ensure that all letting and management agents are part of a redress scheme and publicise their fees in a transparent manner both on their websites and in their premises and for landlords to ensure that smoke and carbon monoxide detectors are installed in their rented properties.

3. Name and Job Title of person completing assessment:

Ruth Abbott Housing Standards and Adaptations Manager

4. Have any impacts been Identified? (Yes/No)

Yes

Community of Identity affected:

Age

Summary of impact:

The new laws will provide a recognised and independent route through which tenants can complain about unfair practises including discriminatory behaviour and ensure that the fees are displayed on websites and where appropriate in commercial premises and that landlords are providing safer homes by providing smoke and carbon monoxide detectors **in their rented accommodations**. There is a significant Private Rented Sector in the city including a large student population, young professionals and people under 35 who are **on** benefit living in HMOs and an increasing number of young families

5. Date CIA completed: Ruth Abbott

6. Signed off by:

7. I am satisfied that this service/policy/function has been successfully impact assessed.

Name:

Position:		
Date:		
8. Decision-making body: Executive Member for Housing and Safer Neighbourhoods	Date: 17th October 2016	Decision Details:
Send the completed signed off document to ciasubmission@york.gov.uk It will be published on the intranet, as well as on the council website. Actions arising from the Assessments will be logged on Verto and progress updates will be required		

Community Impact Assessment (CIA)

Community Impact Assessment Title:

The retrofitting of energy efficiency measures to homes and non-domestic properties throughout the city

What evidence is available to suggest that the proposed service, policy, function or criteria could have a negative (N), positive (P) or no (None) effect on quality of life outcomes? (Refer to guidance for further details)

Can negative impacts be justified? For example: improving community cohesion; complying with other legislation or enforcement duties; taking positive action to address imbalances or under-representation; needing to target a particular community or group e.g. older people. NB. Lack of financial resources alone is NOT justification!

Community of Identity: Age

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Data from the Business Intelligence Hub BRE research 2015 Landlord Survey 2014 Private Sector Stock Condition Survey 2008		Longevity; Physical Security; Health; Standard of Living;	Positive	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

<p>Positive</p> <p>To implement the laws fairly and consistently so that letting agents provide their services in a transparent way including any fees charged and access to an ombudsman service and for landlords to provide safe accommodation.</p>		<p>To ensure effective enforcement of these rights and duties having regard to the accompanying government guidance</p>	<p>Housing Standards and Adaptations Manager</p>	
---	--	---	--	--

Community of Identity: Carers of Older or Disabled People

Evidence	Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)	
N/A				
<p>Details of Impact</p>	<p><i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i></p>	<p>Reason/Action</p>	<p>Lead Officer</p>	<p>Completion Date</p>

--	--	--	--	--

Community of Identity: Disability

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators		Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Data from the Business Intelligence Hub Private Sector Stock Condition Survey 2008		Longevity; Physical Security; Health; Standard of Living; Individual, family and social life		P	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action		Lead Officer	Completion Date
Positive Evidence shows that disabled people are more likely to suffer from fuel poverty. The green deal contract aims to alleviate this through retrofitting of energy efficiency measures including simple measures such as loft and cavity wall insulation to more complex installations such as solid wall insulation. This will result in reduce fuel bills, provide warmer homes and reduction in cold – related illnesses				The new sub regional post	Following the call off the contract

<p>Negative</p> <p>There may be some additional costs which are not fully covered by the scheme and disabled people maybe asked to contribute towards the shortfall. In addition we are aware from other schemes that disabled people are uncomfortable with the upheaval caused by the installation of measures such as loft insulation e.g. the creation of a loft hatch and the removal of items from the loft space.</p>		<p>Explore with the preferred bidder their support towards disabled people including financial assistance where there is a shortfall and practical support to help them have the measures installed.</p>		
---	--	--	--	--

Community of Identity: Gender

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
N/A				
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

Community of Identity: Gender Reassignment

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
N/A				
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

Community of Identity: Marriage & Civil Partnership

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
N/A				
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

Community of Identity: Pregnancy / Maternity

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Data from the Business Intelligence Hub Private Sector Stock Condition Survey 2008		Longevity; Physical Security; Health; Standard of Living; Individual, family and social life	P	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

Community of Identity: Race

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
N/A			P	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

--	--	--	--	--

Community of Identity: Religion / Spirituality / Belief

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
N/A			P	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

Community of Identity: Sexual Orientation

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
N/A			P	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

--	--	--	--	--